

### **REMARKS**

This is in full and timely response to the Official Action of June 16, 2005.

Reexamination and reconsideration re respectfully requested.

Claims 45 to 62 were rejected on the grounds of double patenting with the Applicant's prior patent, No. 6,471,059. Without acquiescence in or agreement with the basis for this rejection, and without considering whether the intervening requirements for restriction alleviate this rejection in any way, a Terminal Disclaimer signed by the attorney for the Application is provided to overcome the double patent rejection. This solution was suggested by the Action itself. The courtesy of a brief telephone interview this morning with Examiner Fisher initiated by the undersigned confirming that a Terminal Disclaimer was sought is acknowledged with appreciation.

This will confirm that this application and the '059 patent were for all times relevant and still are owned by the same individual, the Applicant in this application. Accordingly, any suggestion of multiple assignees' actions are moot by the very terms of the Terminal Disclaimer.

This will constitute authorization to charge the Deposit Account of the undersigned firm, Deposit Account 18-0013, for the fee for the Terminal Disclaimer of

\$130.00. If any additional fees are required, please also charge the same deposit account and advise the undersigned.

Dated: June 24, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

1233 20th Street, N.W.

Suite 501

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 955-3750

Attorney for Applicant